## THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

MMAT5540 Advanced Geometry 2016-2017 Supplementary Exercise 1

- 1. Define a relation ~ on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $(x, y) \sim (x', y')$  if and only if  $x x', y y' \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - (a) Prove that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.
  - (b) Describe the elements of  $\mathbb{R}^2/\sim$ .
  - (c) Repeat (a) and (b) by changing the relation to be the following:  $(x, y) \sim (x', y')$  if and only if  $x - x' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and y = y'.
- 2. Let  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be the set of all n by n real matrices. Suppose that  $\sim$  is a relation on  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $A \sim B$  if there exists an invertible matrix Q such that B = AQ.
  - (a) Prove that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.
  - (b) Describe the elements of the equivalence class which contains the identity matrix I.
- 3. Let n be a positive integer and let ~ be a relation defined on  $\mathbb{Z}$  which is given by  $a \sim b$  if b a is divisible by n.
  - (a) Show that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.
  - (b) Write down the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z}/\sim$ .
  - (c) Prove that multiplication on  $\mathbb{Z}$  induces a multiplication on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .
  - (d) What is the remainder when  $7001 \times 492$  is divided by 7? (Hint: What is  $[7001 \cdot 492]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ ?)
- 4. For an incidence geometry, prove that two distinct lines can have most one point in common, i.e. if l and m are distinct lines, then  $|l \cap m| \leq 1$ .
- 5. For an incidence geometry, prove that:
  - (a) if P be a point, then there exists at least one line that does not contain P;
  - (b) there exist three distinct lines such that no point lies on all three of them.

## Lecturer's comment:

- 1. (a) (i) Let  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , since  $x x = y y = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $(x, y) \sim (x, y)$ 
  - (ii) Let  $(x, y), (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $(x, y) \sim (x', y')$ . Then  $x x', y y' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which implies that x' x = -(x x') and y' y = -(y y') are in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and so  $(x', y') \sim (x, y)$ .
  - (iii) Let  $(x, y), (x', y'), (x'', y'') \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $(x, y) \sim (x', y')$  and  $(x', y') \sim (x'', y'')$ . Then  $x x', x' x'', y y', y' y'' \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $x x'' = (x x') + (x' x'') \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $y y'' = (y y') + (y' y'') \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence,  $(x, y) \sim (x'', y'')$ .

Therefore,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- (b) ℝ<sup>2</sup>/ ~= {[(x,y)] : 0 ≤ x, y < 1}.</li>
  (Remark: if you regard ℝ<sup>2</sup> as a piece of paper and try to glue the points which are related by ~, then you will get a torus.)
- (c) The proof is similar to (a) and  $\mathbb{R}^2/\sim = \{[(x,y)] : 0 \le x < 1, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Again  $\mathbb{R}^2/\sim$  may be regarded as a cylinder.
- 2. (a) (i) Let A ∈ M<sub>n</sub>(ℝ), since A = AI where I is the identity matrix which is invertible, A ~ A.
  (ii) Let A, B ∈ M<sub>n</sub>(ℝ) and A ~ B, then there exists an invertible matrix Q such that B = AQ. Then, we have A = BQ<sup>-1</sup> where Q<sup>-1</sup> is an invertible matrix and so B ~ A.
  - (iii) Let  $A, B, C \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$ . Then there exist invertible matrices P and Q such that A = BP and B = CQ. Therefore, A = (CQ)P = C(PQ). Note that the product of two invertible matrices is an invertible matrix, so PQ is invertible and  $A \sim C$ .

Therefore,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

(b) Note that  $[I] = \{P \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : P \sim I\}.$ 

We claim that [I] is the set of all invertible matrices, which is denoted by  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Firstly, if  $P \in [I]$ , then  $P \sim I$  which means P = IQ = Q for some invertible matrix Q. Therefore, P is invertible and  $[I] \subset GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Secondly, if  $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e. P is invertible. If we want to show  $P \in [I]$ , we have to show that  $P \sim I$ , i.e. there exists some invertible matrix Q such that P = IQ, but it is true simply by taking Q = P. Therefore,  $GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \subset [I]$ .

Therefore,  $[I] = GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

(Remark: To show two sets A and B are the same, a standard way is showing that both  $A \subset B$  and  $B \subset A$  are true.)

3. (a) Let a, b and c be integers.

Since a - a = 0 which is divisible by  $n, a \sim a$ . Suppose that  $a \sim b$ , then b - a = np for some integer p. Then a - b = -np = n(-p) which is divisible by n, so  $b \sim a$ . Suppose that  $a \sim b$  and  $b \sim c$ , then b - a = np and c - b = nq for some integers p and q. Then c - a = (c - b) + (b - a) = n(p + q). p + q is an integer, so c - a is divisible by n and  $c \sim a$ .

As a result,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

- (b)  $\mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z}/\sim = \{[0], [1], \cdots, [n]\}.$
- (c) It suffices to show that if a ~ a' and b ~ b' then a · b ~ a' · b'.
  Suppose that a' a = np and b' b = nq for some integers p and q.
  Then (a' · b') (a · b) = (a + np) · (b + nq) a · b = n(aq + bp + npg). aq + bp + npg is an integer, so (a' · b') (a · b) is divisible by n and a · b ~ a' · b'.
- (d) Note that [7001] = [1] and [492] = [2] in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ , so  $[7001 \cdot 492] = [7001] \cdot [492] = [1] \cdot [2] = [2]$ . Therefore, when  $7001 \times 492$  is divided by 7, the remainder is 2.

- 4. Suppose that p and q are two mathematical statements. If we want to show that the statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is true, here are two of the ways to do:
  - (Prove by contrapositive) Prove that  $(\neg q) \rightarrow (\neg p)$ , which is logically equivalent to  $p \rightarrow q$ , is true.
  - (Prove by contradiction) We want to show the negation of the statement we want to prove is false, i.e. contradiction exists. Note that  $p \to q$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg p \lor q$  and so its negation is  $p \land (\neg q)$ .

For the statement in the question, p is the statement "l and m are distinct lines", q is the statement  $|l \cap m| \leq 1$ .

We will show  $p \to q$  is true by using different methods:

(Prove by contrapositive) Suppose that  $|l \cap m| > 1 \ (\neg q)$ , i.e. there exist two points A and B such that both A and B lie on l as well as m. By axiom **I1**, l and m must be the same  $(\neg p)$ .

(Prove by contradiction) Suppose that l and m are distinct lines and  $|l \cap m| > 1$   $(p \land (\neg q))$ . Then there exist two points A and B such that both A and B lie on l as well as m. By axiom **I1**, l and m must be the same which is a contradiction.

- 5. (a) By axiom I3, there exist three noncollinear points R, S and T.
  - (Case 1)  $P \in \{R, S, T\}$

Without loss of generality, let R = P.

By axiom I1, there exists unique line  $l_{ST}$  such that  $S, T \in l_{ST}$ .

Note that  $l_{ST}$  does not contain P, otherwise it contradicts to the assumption that P, S and T are noncollinear.

(Case 2)  $P \notin \{R, S, T\}$ 

By axiom **I1**, there exists unique lines  $l_{ST}$  such that  $S, T \in l_{ST}$ .

If P does not lie on  $l_{ST}$ , then  $l_{ST}$  is the line required.

If  $P \in l_{ST}$ . By axiom **I1**, there exists unique line  $l_{RS}$  such that  $R, S \in l_{RS}$ .

If P lies on  $l_{RS}$ , then both P and S lie on  $l_{ST}$  and  $l_{RS}$ . By axiom **I1**,  $l_{ST} = l_{RS}$  which is a line that contains R, S and T (Contradiction).

Therefore, P does not lie on  $l_{ST}$ 

(b) By axiom I3, there exist three noncollinear points R, S and T.

By axiom **I1**, there exist unique line  $l_{RS}$ ,  $l_{ST}$  and  $l_{RT}$  such that  $R, S \in l_{RS}$ ,  $S, T \in l_{ST}$  and  $R, T \in l_{RT}$ .

Firstly,  $l_{RS}$ ,  $l_{ST}$  and  $l_{RT}$  are distinct lines, otherwise two of them will be the same line which contains all R, S and T which is a contradiction.

Secondly, if there exists a point P such that P lies on all three of them, in particular P lies on  $l_{RS}$  and  $l_{ST}$  which forces P = S (By question 4 or you may say it is a direct consequence of axiom **I1**. However, P = S which lies on  $l_{RT}$  which contradicts to the assumption that P, S and T are noncollinear.

Therefore, there exists no point which lies on both  $l_{RS}$ ,  $l_{ST}$  and  $l_{RT}$ .